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DANUBEPARKS STEP 2.0
Sustainable Transnational Environmental Platform (20 Partners)

DANUBEPARKS STEP 2.0 Kick-off Conference

Georg Frank
Conclusions
(Conference, Orth, Sept 2011)

+ 
Creation of network 
experience exchange 
visible concrete outputs 

- 
Institutionalization 
Policy level 
Commitment on political level
Expectations
(Conference, Orth, Sept 2011)

- Concrete actions and results
- Institutionalization
- Visibility of the network (on different levels)
- Policies
- Core activity

Expected side effect:
- Experience exchange
- Better management (skills)
What was positive?

(Conclusions of final Steering Committee Meeting, Vienna, Feb. 2012)

- Enthusiasm of all the partners – we should not lose it!!!
- Getting inspiration and knowledge for solutions $\rightarrow$ excursions
- Website with interesting materials as a result of cooperation
- Distant tools of communication important, but best is still personal contact! (workshops, excursions, staff exchange)
- Became friends!
- Accurate and helpful lead partner
- **Strengthened voice** of protected area on EU level – good feeling!
- Some partners speak the same language $\rightarrow$ that’s helpful, if there are any questions!
What should be improved?

- Sometimes **deadly deadlines** 😊 ↔ faster feedback of all partners is needed, but perhaps the **manpower** is not enough?! 
- It is necessary for getting in touch with partners to **clarify positions and expectations at the beginning** (task force meetings)
- Too much different activities to be done in short time – better to focus on some of them only
- **Good training for project managers** at the beginning of the next project would help → to understand that what is needed, also establish common rules of cooperation
- Always have real **practical activities and goals** - so that this network will not turn into a theoretical cooperation (like many other international networks) → many words but no outcome
What should be improved?

- People who can **communicate in English** should come to the meetings
- Intranet is not used so much
- **Clearer instructions** are sometimes necessary
- **some meetings were organized only because it should be realized, but with not so much results**
- With some partners there was **no contact yet**; so there is still lot of themes where we should communicate
- Some partners should be **more active**
- Different “main roles” (implementation, lobbying, etc) for different partners due to location, experience, etc
"... For the future more PA partners along the Danube River and its main tributaries are invited to join the Network."
## WP3 – STEP 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Act. 3.1. Building up Network Leaders</th>
<th>Act. 3.2. Strategic Enlargement</th>
<th>Act. 3.3. Anchoring with Danube Stakeholders</th>
<th>Act. 3.4. Building a long-term Network Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period 1</strong> 2012/10-2013/3</td>
<td><strong>Period 2</strong> 2013/4-2013/6</td>
<td><strong>Period 3</strong> 2013/7-2013/12</td>
<td><strong>Period 4</strong> 2014/1-2014/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period 5</strong> 2014/7-2014/9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOUTH EAST EUROPE**

**DANUBE PARKS**

**Programme operated by** EUROPEAN UNION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP3 – STEP 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Period 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/10-2013/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**act. 3.1. Building up Network Leaders**
- Leadership (at kick-off)
- Presentation techniques (at TFM Black poplar, fall 2012)

**act. 3.2. Strategic Enlargement**
- International Projects (at TFM WTE, April 2013)
- Moderation (at TFM tourism, April 2013)

**act. 3.3. Anchoring with Danube Stakeholders**

**act. 3.4. Building a long-term Network Structure**
- Representation (at one conference, date: to be decided)
Role of each Partner

• Coordination: NPDA
• Active participation by 1 project manager per PP at the training seminars (!!!)
• (Pro-active feedback to the workshops)
### WP3 – STEP 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Period 3</th>
<th>Period 4</th>
<th>Period 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/10-2013/3</td>
<td>2013/4-2013/6</td>
<td>2013/7-2013/12</td>
<td>2014/1/2014/6</td>
<td>2014/7-2014/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **act. 3.1. Building up Network Leaders**
- **act. 3.2. Strategic Enlargement**
  - Enlargement Strategy
- **act. 3.3. Anchoring with Danube Stakeholders**
- **act. 3.4. Building a long-term Network Structure**

- 20 Network partners
Enlargement Strategy

• Where are gaps in our Network?
• Which partners of your country would be important?
• Which role could this partner play (longterm, involvement in DANUBE PARKS STEP 2.0)

- List of potential partners (today)
- Personal interview with PP (contact person to be nominated today)
Involvement into Project Activities
## WP3 – STEP 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Period 3</th>
<th>Period 4</th>
<th>Period 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/10-2013/3</td>
<td>2013/4-2013/6</td>
<td>2013/7-2013/12</td>
<td>2014/1/2014/6</td>
<td>2014/7-2014/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**act. 3.1. Building up Network Leaders**

**act. 3.2. Strategic Enlargement**

**act. 3.3. Anchoring with Danube Stakeholders**

**act. 3.4. Building a long-term Network Structure**

Permanent representation and cooperation
• Observer status in the ICPDR
• Cooperation with EUSDR
• Joint conferences with stakeholders of other sectors in WP4 & WP5
• Joint representation at a key conference in act. 3.1.
Role of each Partner

• Coordination: NPDA
• Shared responsibilities – stronger involvement by each partner for events in its country (e.g. EUSDR stakeholder workshop, Budapest Nov. 6th, Annual Forum of EUSDR, 27th/28th Nov., Regensburg)
WP3 – STEP 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Period 3</th>
<th>Period 4</th>
<th>Period 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012/10-2013/3</td>
<td>2013/4-2013/6</td>
<td>2013/7-2013/12</td>
<td>2014/1/2014/6</td>
<td>2014/7-2014/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**act. 3.1. Building up Network Leaders**

**act. 3.2. Strategic Enlargement**

**act. 3.3. Anchoring with Danube Stakeholders**

**act. 3.4. Building a long-term Network Structure**

- Evaluation Organisation Structures of PP
- Directors Workshop (Orth, spring 2013)
- Experience exchange with other Networks
- Steering Committee Sept. 2013 (DDBRA): report & perspectives
Role of each Partner

- Coordination: NPDA
- Contract for external expert to analyze PA structures
- Cooperation to compile experiences of PA structures (all PP)
- All PP: active participation at Directors workshop
- DDBRA, Djerdap: experience exchange Strategic for Baltic Sea Region & Carpathian Network of PAs
- Joint decision for future Network structure by Steering Committee
Let's get stronger and more efficient on a long-term!